Atty. Docket: 0501-UTL-0

USSN: 10/559,595

Page 2 of 3

Therefore, unity of invention exists with the present claims and there is no undue burden on the Examiner to search claims 1-50 in a single case. Therefore no election of a single sequence for examination as the invention should be required.

Election of species was also required. In response Applicant elects the species as follows:

For the cationic poly-amino acid, Applicant elects poly-arginine, claims 1-10 and 15-34 reading thereon;

For the agent, Applicants elect a tonicifying agent, claims 1-10 and 15-34 reading thereon.

For the tonicifying agent, Applicant elects sodium chloride, claims 1-10 and 15-34 reading thereon.

For the viscosity-increasing agent, Applicants elect hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, claims 1-10 and 15-34 reading thereon.

For the bioadhesive agent, Applicants elect carbomer, claims 1-10 and 15-34 reading thereon.

For the preservative, Applicants elect phenylethyl alcohol, claims 1-10 and 15-34 reading thereon.

For the disease to be treated or ameliorated, Applicants elect weight loss, claims 1-10 and 15-34 reading thereon.